EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – DL07 22/23

Deci	sion							
I	Title of decision: UK Shared Prosperity Fund Project							
2	Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Mark Shayer, Deputy Leader of the Council							
3	Report author and contact details: Amanda Ratsey, Amanda.Ratsey@plymouth.gov.uk							
4 Decision to be taken:								
	• To agree to use £300,000 of UK Shared Prosperity Funds for the delivery of the Cattewater Harbour - Port Development Feasibility Study project							
	• To authorise the Director for Place to enter into or sign agreements on behalf of Plymouth City Council in relation to the UK Shared Prosperity Fund.							
5	Reasons for decision:							
	• Plymouth were allocated £3,131,412 for three years (2022/23 - 2024/25) to support a mix of revenue and capital spend.							
	• Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the delivery and management of the UK Shared Prosperity Fund (UKSPF) and as such needs to follow Plymouth City Council processes.							
	• As part of the investment plan submitted to Government on the 01 August 2022 there was a requirement to utilise an existing group or form a Key Partnership Group that would act as an advisory group in order to provide recommendations on the delivery of potential projects. The Plymouth Growth Board was invited to act as this key partnership group and after consultation with the Board, the group has given its positive recommendation on the delivery of the Cattewater Harbour - Port Development Feasibility Study project.							
	• To meet Plymouth City Council governance frameworks and thresholds. The project is requesting £300,000 hence above the threshold for an Officer to do so and therefore, in order to award the amount being requested requires an executive decision.							
	 To allow and ensure that the project can deliver and spend the UKSPF allocation of money to year 1 (2022/23) before the end of the financial year. 							
	• To meet the timelines set out by Government to deliver the UK Shared Prosperity Fund.							
	• This project will play a key role into the ambitions of future proofing the Port in Plymouth and will be able to contribute to the offshore renewable industry and increased investment in the coming decades of which the South West Ports will be integral in supporting.							

6	Alternative options considered and rejected: N/A						
7	Financial implications and risks:						
	The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the UK Shared Prosperity Fund and is underpinned by a Memorandum of Understanding and further funding agreements that binds Plymouth City Council into an agreement with the Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) and further funding agreements and service level agreements between the Accountable Body and projects outlining that the funds is shouldered by the applicant as such is contractually obliged to deliver and spend according to the Fund parameters and requirements. Projects are subject to Accountable Body processes involving but not limited to procurement regulations, monitoring and governance, as such, failure to follow requirements from either the Accountable Body or DLUHC runs the risk of remedial action.						
	Plymouth City Council will provide dedicated resources to manage and oversee the fund. UKSPF offers a 4% top slice to cover management costs of the fund. We will maintain robust programme management protocols through regular monitoring and review to ensure that the projects are delivered within the grant terms and conditions. Remedial action will be taken where necessary.						
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u>		No	P er the Constitution, a key decision is one which:			
	for further advice)		X	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total			
			X	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million			
			×	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.			
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the <u>Forward Plan of Key</u> <u>Decisions</u>	N/A					
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	This decision highlights how this potential UK Shared Prosperity Fund project is relevant to some chapters of the Plymouth Plan, including Growing City and International City as well as other frameworks including the Climate Emergency Action Plan. This project has the potential to be directly linked to both the revenue and capital budget, with possible site investigation works and arising from the feasibility study that focuses on the development of the port.					
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	The UK Shared Prosperity Fund process incorporates high levels of net zero ambition. This paper highlights how this project has the potential to positively impact					

		clean encou run, t trade,	upon and encourage net zero initiatives; driving forward clean ports and further investment into the city that encourages high levels of net zero initiatives. In the long- run, this project will ensure the port secures new trade, imports and exports, fishing vessels, as well as showcasing that Plymouth can play a role in the future.					
Urg	ent decisions							
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public?	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (<u>democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk</u>) for advice)				
	public:	No	Х	(If no, go to section 13a)				
I2a	Reason for urgency:							
l 2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:		Date					
	Scrutiny Committee name:							
	Print Name:							
Con	sultation							
13a								
	portfolios affected by the decision?	No	x	(If no go to section 14)				
I3b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?							
l3c	Date Cabinet member consulted							
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the							
14	conflict of interest in relation to the			If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer				
14			x	, , , ,				
	conflict of interest in relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management	No Name		, , , ,				
14	conflict of interest in relation to the decision?	No Name	e	Officer				
	conflict of interest in relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management	No Name	e tle	Officer Anthony Payne				
	conflict of interest in relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	No Name Job ti Date	e tle	Officer Anthony Payne Strategic Director for Place				

			Finance (mandatory)		pl.2	pl.22.23.354.					
			Legal (mandatory) Human Resources (if applicable) Corporate property (if applicable)			MS/	MS/39584				
						e) N/A					
						N/A	N/A N/A				
			Procurement (if applicable)		N/A						
Арр	endic	es									
17	Ref. Title of appendix										
	A	Equalities Impact Assessment									
Con	fident	ial/exempt information									
18a		dential/exempt information? briefing report and ind				dicate w					
			No	x		l Governm	: 1972 by	f Schedule 12A 972 by ticking			
					(Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)						
				Exemption Paragraph Number							
			I	2	3	4	5	6	7		
I 8b	Conf title:	idential/exempt briefing report									
Back	grour	nd Papers									
	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.										
19	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extension disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publ Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevan						:	o roport			
19	disclo the in	se facts or matters on which the repo formation is confidential, you must inc	rt or an licate wl	impor hy it is	tant part of not for pub	the work lication by	is based	. If some	e/all of		
19	disclo the in Scheo	se facts or matters on which the repo formation is confidential, you must inc	rt or an licate wl	impor hy it is y tickin	tant part of not for pub	the work lication by int box.	is based virtue c	. If some of Part I c	e/all of		
19	disclo the in Scheo	ose facts or matters on which the repo formation is confidential, you must ind Jule 12A of the Local Government Act	rt or an licate wl	impor hy it is y tickin	tant part of not for pub og the releva	the work lication by int box.	is based virtue c	. If some of Part I c	e/all of		
19	disclo the in Scheo	ose facts or matters on which the repo formation is confidential, you must ind Jule 12A of the Local Government Act	rt or an licate wl	impor hy it is y tickin	tant part of not for pub g the releva Exemptior	the work lication by int box.	is based virtue c ph Nur	. If some of Part 1 c nber	e/all of of		
19	disclo the in Scheo	ose facts or matters on which the repo formation is confidential, you must ind Jule 12A of the Local Government Act	rt or an licate wl	impor hy it is y tickin	tant part of not for pub g the releva Exemptior	the work lication by int box.	is based virtue c ph Nur	. If some of Part 1 c nber	e/all of of		
19	disclo the in Scheo	ose facts or matters on which the repo formation is confidential, you must ind Jule 12A of the Local Government Act	rt or an licate wl	impor hy it is y tickin	tant part of not for pub g the releva Exemptior	the work lication by int box.	is based virtue c ph Nur	. If some of Part 1 c nber	e/all of of		

20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act (2010) and those who do not.								
Signature		(Hichard Bingley	Date of decision	9 February 2023					
Print Name		Councillor Richard Bingley, Leader of Plymouth City Council							